

# IN THE 26TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT, CAMDEN COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:	,	Case Number: 14CM-CC001	84	
Plaintiff/Petitioner:		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney	/Address	
J.S.		BRETT THOMAS VOTAVA		
3.5.		233 W 47TH STREET		
	VS.			
Defendant/Respondent:		Court Address: CAMDEN COUNTY COURT!	HOLISE	
LAKE REGIONAL HEAL	TH SYSTEM		HOUSE	
Nature of Suit:		1 COURT CIRCLE, STE 8 CAMDENTON, MO 65020		
CC Pers Injury-Malpractice		CAMBENTON, MO 03020		(Date File Stamp)
	St	immons in Civil Case		
The State of Missouri	to: ROBERT C NIELSEN	ОО		
	Alias:			
3870 COLUMBIA AVE OSAGE BEACH, MO 65065				
OSAGE BEACH, MO 03003				
COURT SEAL OF	You are summone	d to appear before this court and t	to file your pleading to the pe	tition, a copy of
COURTOR	which is attached and	to serve a conv of your pleading up	pon the attorney for Plaintiff/	Petitioner at the
	above address all within	n 30 days after receiving this sumr	mons, exclusive of the day of s	ervice. If you fail to
(3)(3)(3)		41 16 14	inch war fan tha valiaf daman	lad in the natition
(京(Xg)(xg)(x)(c)	10.714	1/	7/10/1/11/2 July	
	Date	gment by default may be taken aga	Clerk /	······································
	Further Information:			
CAMDEN COUNTY	Further information.			
		Sheriff's or Server's Return		*
Note to serving officer:	Summons should be returned	to the court within thirty days after the	he date of issue.	
	i the above summons by: (che			
I certify that I have served	t the above summons by. (en	- the Defendant management	m+	
delivering a copy of the	he summons and a copy of the	petition to the Defendant/Responder	ill. abada af tha Dafandant/Pernar	dent with
leaving a copy of the	summons and a copy of the pe	a person of the Defendant's/Re	aboute of the Detendant Respon	of 15 years
	i ) deli e i e e constoft	a person of the Defendant sixes he summons and a copy of the petition	on to	oi 15 years.
(for service on a corp	oration) delivering a copy of t	ne summons and a copy of the pentile		.1
		(name)		(title).
TI other				
Consider				(address)
Served at		5C: I > > > O	(date) at	(time)
in	(County/City	of St. Louis), MO, on	(date) at	(titio).
			Signature of Sheriff or Se	₩772¥
Printed Nar	ne of Sheriff or Server	50 S050 P2000	•	I VCI
	Must be sworn before a	notary public if not served by an a	uthorized officer:	
	Subscribed and sworn to b	efore me on	(date).	
(Seal)				*
	My commission expires:	My commission expires:  Date Notary Public		
		Date		
Sheriff's Fees	•			
Summons	<b>5</b>			
3.1 124				
Non Est	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge	\$	miles @ \$. per mile)		
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge Mileage	\$(	miles @ \$ per mile)		,
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge Mileage	\$(	miles @ \$ per mile) ust be served on each Defendant/Res	spondent. For methods of serv	ice on all classes of

## ATTACHMENT A

OSCA (7-08) SM30 (SMCC) For Court Use Only: Document Id # 14-SMCC-1439

1 of 1

 $\label{eq:civil Procedure Form No. 1, Rules 54.01-54.05, 54.13, and 54.20; 506.120-506.140, and 506.150~RSMo}$ 

AUG 28 2014 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CAMDEN COUNTY, MISSOURIJO MCELWEL JAMIE and NATHAN SEARCY, Individually, and JAMIE SEARCY as Next Friend of minor J.S., Case No. Division Plaintiffs, V. LAKE REGIONAL HEALTH SYSTEM, Serve Registered Agent: Michael E. Henze Nielsen, MD 54 Hospital Drive Osage Beach, MO 65065, and ROBERT NIELSEN, D.O., Serve at: Central Ozarks Medical Center at the Lake 3870 Columbia Ave. Osage Beach, Missouri 65065, Defendants.

## PLAINTIFFS' PETITION FOR DAMAGES

COME NOW Plaintiffs Jamie and Nathan Searcy, individually, and Jamie Searcy as Next Friend of minor J.S. , by counsel, and for their causes of action against Defendants, allege and state as follows:

#### PLAINTIFF JAMIE SEARCY

 At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Plaintiff Jamie Searcy has been an adult over eighteen years of age and a resident of Camdenton, Camden County, Missouri. 2. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Plaintiff Jamie Searcy was the natural mother of minor Plaintiff J.S.

## PLAINTIFF NATHAN SEARCY

- 3. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Plaintiff Nathan Searcy has been an adult over eighteen years of age and a resident of Camdenton, Camden County, Missouri.
- 4. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Plaintiff Nathan Searcy was the natural father of minor Plaintiff J.S.

## PLAINTIFF J.S. BY NEXT FRIEND JAMIE SEARCY

- 5. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Plaintiff J.S. has been a minor child under eighteen years of age.
- 6. Jamie Searcy is the natural mother of Plaintiff J.S., and as such, is a proper party to be appointed by the Court as Next Friend of minor Plaintiff J.S. for the purpose of pursuing Plaintiff J.S. causes of action against the above-named Defendants.
  - 7. A Motion for Appointment of Jamie Searcy as Next Friend of minor Plaintiff
  - J.S. has been filed with this Petition for Damages.

### DEFENDANT LAKE REGIONAL HEALTH SYSTEM

8. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Lake Regional Health System (hereinafter "Defendant Hospital")

has been a Missouri corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Missouri and engaged in providing medical care and treatment to persons afflicted with illness, disease or pregnancy such as that provided to Plaintiffs.

#### DEFENDANT ROBERT NEILSEN, D.O.

- 9. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Robert Nielsen, D.O. (hereinafter "Defendant Nielsen") has been a Missouri licensed physician in the practice of obstetrics and gynecology representing and holding himself out to the public, and in particular to Plaintiffs, as such.
- 10. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Nielsen was an employee of Defendant Hospital.

### **AGENCY**

- 11. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Hospital was acting by and through its actual, ostensible or apparent agents and employees, including but not limited to Defendant Nielsen and labor and delivery nurses, in providing medical care and treatment to Plaintiffs.
- 12. At the time of the negligent acts complained of herein and at all times mentioned,
  Defendant Hospital's actual and apparent agents and employees, including but not limited to
  Defendant Nielsen and labor and delivery nurses, were acting within the course and scope of
  their employment in providing medical care to Plaintiffs.

#### **VENUE AND JURISDICTION**

13. Venue and jurisdiction are proper in this Court pursuant to RSMo. §508.010 as the negligent acts complained of herein occurred in Camden County, Missouri and Defendant Hospital maintains a registered agent in Camden County, Missouri.

#### FACTS OF THE OCCURRENCE

- 14. In 2012, twenty-five year old Plaintiff Jamie Searcy was pregnant with Plaintiff
- 15. Defendant Nielsen managed the pregnancy.
- 16. Jamie had delivered two previous children by caesarian section (c-section).
- 17. The estimated due date for baby J.S. was 2012.
- 18. Defendant Nielsen agreed to attempt to deliver baby J.S. vaginally.
- 19. In a mother who has previously delivered a baby by c-section, a subsequent vaginal delivery is called a VBAC (Vaginal Birth After Caesarian) delivery.
- 20. One concern during a VBAC delivery is uterine rupture whereby the uterus breaks open at the old c-section scar.
- 21. If a uterine rupture occurs during a VBAC delivery, an emergency c-section is necessary to prevent life-threatening harm to mom and baby such as blood loss and lack of oxygen.
- 22. Pregnant mothers attempting VBAC are monitored continuously during labor by electronic fetal monitoring because a change in the baby's heartbeat is usually the earliest sign of a problem and the baby's health needs to be monitored.
  - 23. Electronic fetal monitoring detects and records both mom and baby's heartbeats.

- 24. At approximately 6:45 p.m. on 2012, Jamie was admitted to Defendant Hospital by Defendant Nielsen for induction of labor and delivery of baby
  - 25. Electronic fetal monitoring was affixed to Jamie's abdomen.
- 26. Induction of labor and delivery was initiated by artificial rupture of Jamie's membranes at approximately 8:20 p.m. on , 2012.
- 27. At approximately 7:30 a.m. the next morning on 2012, a medication called Pitocin was started per Defendant Nielsen's order to expedite Jamie's labor.
- 28. By approximately 9:00 a.m., electronic fetal heart monitoring began identifying negative changes in baby J.S. heartbeat.
- 29. At approximately 9:59 a.m., labor and delivery nurses put Jamie in the operating room and requested STAT assistance for an emergency c-section to deliver baby J.S.
  - 30. Defendant Nielsen arrived in the operating room at approximately 10:08 a.m.
- 31. At 10:11 a.m., Defendant Nielsen delivered baby J.S. via emergency c-section.
- 32. During the c-section, Defendant Nielsen discovered that Jamie had a uterine rupture and the prior c-section scar on her uterus had opened up.
- 33. Upon delivery, Baby J.S. had no respirations and was limp and blue-colored due to insufficient oxygen.
- 34. Baby J.S. underwent resuscitation efforts and was transferred to University of Missouri Children's Hospital where she was eventually diagnosed with cerebral palsy and brain damage from a lack of oxygen during labor and delivery.

# <u>COUNT I</u> (Medical Negligence v. All Defendants)

COME NOW Plaintiff

J.S., by her Next friend Jamie Searcy, and Plaintiff

Jamie Searcy, individually, by counsel, and for Count I against Defendants, state and allege as follows:

35. Plaintiffs hereby incorporates paragraphs 1 through 34 above, as though fully set forth herein.

#### DEFENDANT HOSPITAL'S ACTS AND OCCURRENCES OF NEGLIGENCE

- 36. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Hospital, by and through its actual, ostensible and apparent agents and employees, including but not limited to labor and delivery nurses, as licensed health care providers holding themselves out to the public, and in particular to Plaintiffs, as a health care providers in the field of obstetrical nursing, had a duty to possess and use that degree of skill and learning ordinarily used under the same or similar circumstances by members of their profession in the care and treatment of Plaintiffs.
- 37. Defendant Hospital breached its duty and is responsible for the following acts and occurrences of negligence and carelessness:
  - a. In negligently and carelessly failing to timely identify negative changes in electronic fetal monitoring of Jamie and/or J.S. ;
  - b. In negligently and carelessly failing to timely recognize the significance of negative changes in electronic fetal monitoring of Jamie and/or J.S.
  - c. In negligently and carelessly failing to timely monitor the electronic fetal monitoring of Jamie and/or J.S. ;

- d. In negligently and carelessly failing to timely notify a physician, including but not limited to Defendant Nielsen, of negative changes in electronic fetal monitoring of Jamie and/or J.S.
- e. In negligently and carelessly failing to timely perform proper resuscitative measures during the labor of J.S. ;
- f. In negligently and carelessly failing to have proper policies in place regarding the performance of attempted VBAC deliveries;
- g. In negligently and carelessly failing to timely involve/advise a pediatrician of a pending VBAC delivery attempt; and
- h. In negligently and carelessly failing to measure up the requisite standards of due care and skill required and observed by licensed health care providers and in further particulars presently unknown to Plaintiffs but which are verily believed and alleged, will be disclosed upon proper discovery procedures during the course of this litigation.

# <u>DEFENDANTS HOSPITAL AND NIELSEN'S ACTS AND OCCURRENCES OF</u> NEGLIGENCE

38. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Hospital, by and through its actual, ostensible and apparent agents and employees, including but not limited to Defendant Nielsen, and Defendant Nielsen individually, as a licensed physician holding himself out to the public, and in particular to Plaintiffs, as a specialist in the field of obstetrics, had a duty to possess and use that degree of skill and learning ordinarily used under the same or similar circumstances by members of his profession and specialty in the care and treatment of Plaintiffs.

39.	Defendants Hospital and Nielsen breached their duty and are responsible for the		
following acts and occurrences of negligence and carelessness:			
а	. In negligently and carelessly choosing to attempt a VBAC delivery of Plaintiff		
	J.S.		
b	. In negligently and carelessly performing a VBAC delivery of J.S. ;		
c	. In negligently and carelessly failing to timely identify negative changes in		
	electronic fetal monitoring of Jamie and/or J.S. ;		
d	. In negligently and carelessly failing to timely recognize the significance of		
	negative changes in electronic fetal monitoring of Jamie and/or J.S.		
e.	In negligently and carelessly failing to timely monitor the electronic fetal		
	monitoring of Jamie and/or J.S. ;		
f.	In negligently and carelessly failing to be readily available during VBAC labor		
	and delivery in case of a medical emergency;		
g.	In negligently and carelessly failing to timely respond to ominous signs on the		
	electronic fetal monitoring of Jamie and/or J.S. ;		
h.	In negligently and carelessly failing to properly monitor Jamie Searcy during her		
	labor and delivery of J.S. ;		
i.	In negligently and carelessly failing to timely involve/advise a pediatrician of a		
	pending VBAC delivery attempt		
j.	In negligently and carelessly failing to timely perform a cesarean section to		
	deliver J.S. ; and		

k. In negligently and carelessly failing to measure up the requisite standards of due care and skill required and observed by licensed physicians and in further particulars presently unknown to Plaintiffs but which are verily believed and alleged, will be disclosed upon proper discovery procedures during the course of this litigation.

# PLAINTIFF J.S.'s INJURIES

- 40. As a direct and proximate result of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants, as more fully set forth above, Plaintiff J.S. suffered the following severe, permanent and progressive injuries:
  - a. Hypoxia (oxygen deprivation);
  - b. Permanent, irreversible, debilitating and disabling brain damage;
  - c. Quadriplegic cerebral palsy;
  - d. Developmental delays, including but not limited to, lack of motor control and inability to feed herself; and
  - Physical pain and suffering and mental anguish consistent with persons diagnosed with cerebral palsy.

# PLAINTIFF J.S.'s DAMAGES

41. As a direct and proximate result of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants, as more fully set forth above, Plaintiff J.S. sustained severe, permanent, devastating and progressive injuries to her brain.

- 42. As a direct and proximate result of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants, as more fully set forth above, Plaintiff J.S. has been caused to experience great physical pain and mental anguish and she will continue to suffer.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants, as more fully set forth above, Plaintiff J.S. has been caused and will be caused to suffer embarrassment, anguish and humiliation consistent with persons who have been diagnosed with and suffer from cerebral palsy.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants, as more fully set forth above, Plaintiff J.S. will suffer lost wages into the future as an individual diagnosed with and suffering from cerebral palsy.
- 45. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, as set forth more fully above, Plaintiff J.S. has required past medical care and will require future medical care consistent with persons diagnosed with cerebral palsy.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, as set forth more fully above, Plaintiff J.S. has incurred past medical costs and will incur future medical costs consistent with persons diagnosed with cerebral palsy.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, as set forth more fully above, Plaintiff J.S. has suffered the loss of the use and enjoyment of various physical capacities and the loss of enjoyment of life.
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, as set forth more fully above, Plaintiff J.S. will need assistance with activities of daily living and household tasks for the rest of her life.

## PLAINTIFFS JAMIE AND NATHAN SEARCY'S DAMAGES

49. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, as set forth more fully above, Plaintiffs Jamie and Nathan Searcy have incurred past medical and other costs for the medical care of J.S. and will incur future medical costs on her behalf consistent with persons diagnosed with cerebral palsy.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff J.S., by her Next Friend Jamie Searcy, and Plaintiffs

Jamie and Nathan Searcy, individually, seek judgment against Defendants, and each of them,

jointly and severally, for such sums as are fair and reasonable, together with any and all costs

herein incurred and expended, and for such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

# COUNT II (Lost Chance of Recovery v. All Defendants)

COME NOW Plaintiff J.S. , by her Next Friend Jamie Searcy, and Plaintiffs

Jamie and Nathan Searcy, individually, by counsel, and for Count II against Defendants, allege

and state as follows:

- 50. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference paragraphs one 1 through 49 above, exclusive of the prayer for relief in Count I, as if fully set forth herein.
- 51. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Plaintiff J.S. suffered from a medical condition that if promptly and properly cared for and treated, she held a statistically significant and material chance of gaining a full recovery.
- 52. Defendants acted negligently and carelessly in their care and treatment of Plaintiffs in the particular respects more fully set forth above.

- 53. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and careless of Defendants, as set forth more fully above, Plaintiff J.S. lost a statistically significant and material chance of a full recovery.
- 54. Such lost chance of recovery was material and resulted in Plaintiff J.S. suffering severe, permanent and devastating injuries as more fully set forth above. Such damages would not have been sustained or would have been minimized had Defendants not been negligent in the manner set forth above.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiffs Jamie and Nathan Searcy have incurred past medical and other costs for the medical care of J.S. and will incur future medical costs on her behalf consistent with persons diagnosed with cerebral palsy.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff J.S., by her Next Friend Jamie Searcy, and Plaintiffs

Jamie and Nathan Searcy, individually, by counsel, seek judgment against Defendants, and each

of them, jointly and severally, for such sums as are fair and reasonable, together with any and all

costs herein incurred and expended, and for such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

# COUNT III (Negligent Retention/Credentialing v. Defendant Hospital)

COME NOW Plaintiff J.S. by her Next Friend Jamie Searcy, and Plaintiffs

Jamie and Nathan Searcy, individually, by counsel, and for Count III against Defendant Hospital, allege and state as follows:

56. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference paragraphs one 1 through 55 above, exclusive of the prayer for relief in Counts I and II, as if fully set forth herein.

- 57. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Hospital knew or should have known that Defendant Nielsen had a dangerous propensity for substandard medical care in the labor and delivery of babies which put newborn babies at increased risk of injury.
- 58. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Hospital knew or should have known that complaints had been filed regarding Defendant Nielsen to hospital administrators by patients and staff regarding the danger Defendant Nielsen posed to pregnant mothers and newborn babies from substandard care and injures he had thereby caused.
- 59. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Hospital knew or should have known that complaints had been filed regarding Defendant Nielsen to the Missouri Board of Healing Arts regarding the danger Defendant Nielsen posed to pregnant mothers and newborn babies from substandard care and injures he had thereby caused.
- 60. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Hospital knew or should have known that Defendant Nielsen had failed to comply with hospital policies and procedures concerning obstetrical practice and patient safety.
- 61. Defendant Hospital acted negligently in retaining Defendant Nielsen as an obstetrician to deliver babies considering his dangerous propensity for substandard care and increased risk of injury to newborn babies.

- 62. At the time of the negligent acts and occurrences complained of herein and at all times mentioned, Defendant Hospital knew or should have known that complaints had been filed regarding Defendant Nielsen and his ability to perform VBAC deliveries.
- 63. Defendant Hospital acted negligently in credentialing Defendant Nielsen to perform VBAC deliveries considering his dangerous propensity for substandard care and increased risk of injury to newborn babies.
- 64. Despite the above, Defendant Hospital continued to allow Defendant Nielsen to deliver babies and perform VBAC deliveries without limitations.
- 65. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and careless of Defendant

  Hospital, Plaintiff J.S. was caused severe, permanent and devastating injuries as more fully set forth above.
- 66. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant Hospital, Plaintiffs Jamie and Nathan Searcy have incurred past medical and other costs for the medical care of J.S. and will incur future medical costs on her behalf consistent with persons diagnosed with cerebral palsy.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff J.S. , by her Next Friend Jamie Searcy, and Plaintiffs

Jamie and Nathan Searcy, individually, by counsel, seek judgment against Defendant Hospital

for such sums as are fair and reasonable, together with any and all costs herein incurred and

expended, and for such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

BARTIMUS, FRICKLEADN, ROBERTSON

& GOZA, P

BRETT T. VOTAVA

MO #51228

233 West 47th St.

Kansas City, MO 64112

(913) 266-2300

TEL

(913) 266-2366

FAX

bvotava@bflawfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that Rechest for Admissions, Request for Production, Notice for Deposition and Interrogatories directed to each Defendant were filed along with the Petition for Damages.

Attorney for Plaintiffs